



Resource Management Agency COUNTY OF TULARE AGENDA ITEM

KUYLER CROCKER District One

PETE VANDER POEL District Two

> AMY SHUKLIAN District Three

EDDIE VALERO District Four

DENNIS TOWNSEND District Five

AGENDA DATE: November 19, 2019

Public Hearing Required	Yes	
Scheduled Public Hearing w/Clerk	Yes	□ N/A ⊠
Published Notice Required	Yes	□ N/A ⊠
Advertised Published Notice	Yes	□ N/A ⊠
County Counsel Sign-Off	Yes	□ N/A ⊠
Meet & Confer Required	Yes	□ N/A ⊠
Electronic file(s) has been sent	Yes	
Budget Transfer (Aud 308) attached	Yes	□ N/A ⊠
Personnel Resolution attached	Yes	□ N/A ⊠
Agreements are attached and signature	line	for Chairman is marked with
tab(s)/flag(s)	Yes	□ N/A ⊠
CONTACT PERSON: Celeste Perez PHC	NE:	559-624-7010

SUBJECT: Economic Development & Planning Branch Indirect Cost Rate

Proposal

REQUEST(S):

That the Board of Supervisors:

Authorize the submittal of the Economic Development & Planning Branch 2019/2020 Indirect Cost Rate Proposal by the Resource Management Agency to the affected public agencies.

SUMMARY:

Background

All Local Government Agencies (LGA) desiring to claim indirect costs for federal-aid/or State funded projects must prepare annually an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) and related documentation to support those costs. Each December the Tulare County Resource Management Agency (RMA) submitts to the California Department of Transportation (CalTrans) its annual ICRP for the Economic Development & Planning Branch.

The 2018/2019 approved ICRP rate by Caltrans was 33.76%. Based on all planned projects in the 2018/2019 RMA budget for the Public Works Branch, it was anticipated that the County would be reimbursed approximately \$50,000 in indirect costs related to federal-aid/or State funded projects. The actual amount received was \$26,356. A portion of the recovery difference was due to some anticipated reimbursable projects not occurring in FY 2018/2019. The remainder of the difference is attributable to the Economic Development & Planning Branch not

SUBJECT: Economic Development & Planning Branch Indirect Cost Rate Proposal

DATE: November 19, 2019

working on some reimbursable projects that did occur in FY 2018/2019 as projected. Additionally, the ICRP approved rate allowed for \$8,039 in indirect reimbursements from work by the Economic Development & Planning Branch on grant funded projects not managed by the Public Works Branch. Total indirect cost recovery for FY 2018/2019 related to the ICRP was \$34,395 for the Economic Development & Planning Branch.

Proposal

Submit the 2019/20 Economic Development & Planning Branch ICRP to the California Department of Transportation for review and acceptance. The proposed plan includes a thorough review and analysis of numerous financial documents such as RMA's Budgets, Countywide Cost Allocation Plans (COWCAP), and Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs).

Based on the appropriate review and analysis of prior year financial data, it is proposed for Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 estimated indirect costs of \$982,441 and estimated direct salaries and wages of \$1,192,062. Using these estimates our derived Economic Development & Planning Branch ICRP rate for FY 2020 is 82.42%.

The formula to arrive at the proposed ICRP Rate of 82.42 % is described as follows:

Indirect Cost Rate = Estimated Indirect Costs ÷ Estimated Direct Salaries & Wages + Fringe Benefits

Applying this formula to RMA's proposed rate, the following is calculated:

82.42% (Rate) = \$982,441 (Indirect Costs) ÷ \$1,192,062 (Direct Costs)

The detail associated with this calculated rate is provided in Attachment "A" (Indirect Cost Proposal for FY 2020).

Applying this rate to our FY 2019/20 projected project expenditures, the estimated amount of reimbursement of indirect costs is approximately **\$80,000**. A detailed list of all the eligible budgeted projects for which reimbursement will be sought is included as Attachment "B."

Conclusion

Based on this report, it is respectfully requested that the Board authorize the submittal of the Economic Development & Planning Branch ICRP to all affected public agencies.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING:

There will be no Net County Cost associated with this matter.

SUBJECT:

Economic Development & Planning Branch Indirect Cost Rate Proposal

DATE:

November 19, 2019

LINKAGE TO THE COUNTY OF TULARE STRATEGIC BUSINESS PLAN:

Improving organizational performance is a key strategic initiative and goal of Tulare County's Strategic Business Plan. Applied here, the ICRP is intended to improve organizational performance by adding cost recovery opportunities for RMA's Economic Development & Planning Branch, thereby helping to strengthen the General Fund budget of RMA.

ADMINISTRATIVE SIGN-OFF:

Sherman Dix

Assistant Director - Fiscal Services

Reed Schenke, P.E.

Director - Resource Management Agency

cc: County Administrative Office

Attachment "A" - Economic Development & Planning Indirect Cost Rate Proposal

Attachment "B" - List of Projects

Attachment "C" - Complete Indirect Cost Rate Proposal FY 2020

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF TULARE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & PLANNING BRANC INDIRECT COST RATE PROPOSAL) CH) Resolution No) Agreement No
UPON MOTION OF SUPERVISO	DR, SECONDED BY
SUPERVISOR	_, THE FOLLOWING WAS ADOPTED BY THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AT AN OF	FICIAL MEETING HELD, BY
THE FOLLOWING VOTE:	
AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT:	
ATTEST:	JASON T. BRITT COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER/ CLERK, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
BY:	Deputy Clerk
* * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *

Authorized the submittal of the Economic Development & Planning Branch 2019/2020 Indirect Cost Rate Proposal by the Resource Management Agency to the affected public agencies.

Attachment "A"

Economic Development & Planning Indirect Cost Rate Proposal



RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY

5961 SOUTH MOONEY BLVD VISALIA, CA 93277PHONE (559) 624-7000
FAX (559) 730-2653

Aaron Bock Reed Schenke Sherman Dix Economic Development and Planning Public Works Fiscal Services

REED SCHENKE, DIRECTOR

MICHAEL WASHAM, ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR

October 30, 2019

Department of Transportation
Audits and Investigations
Attention: MarSue Morrill, CPA, Chief of Planning and Modal Office
Mail Stop 2
P.O. Box 942874
Sacramento, CA 94274-0001

Re: Indirect Cost Rate Proposal for Tulare County Resource Management Agency (FY 2020)

Dear Ms. Chen:

On behalf of the Economic Development and Planning Branch Tulare County Resource Management Agency, this is to respectfully submit the enclosed Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 with supporting documents.

Essentially, RMA applied the method of using actuals to estimate FY 2020 based on the following schedules/ reports:

Tulare County Resource Management Agency – Economic Development and Planning Branch Indirect Cost Plan/Certification:

- Submission Review Checklist;
- Calculation of the Indirect Cost Rate Exhibit A:
- FY 2018 Schedules used for the FY 2020 ICRP;
 - o Indirect Cost Proposal for FY 2020 Schedule 1
 - Listing of Positions with Indirect Salaries and Benefits Schedule 2
 - Summary of Labor by Indirect Project Numbers for Full-Time and Extra Help Staff Attachment to Schedule 2
 - Non-Labor Indirect Costs for FY 2018 Schedule 3
 - Summary of Budget Expenditure for FY 2018 Administration Attachment to Schedule 3
 - Paid Leaves and Benefits Costs Estimated for FY 2018 Schedule 4
 - Summary of Paid Leave Charges for FY 2018 Attachment to Schedule 4

- Summary of Extra Help Employee Hours FY 2018 Attachment to Schedule 4
- Summary of Full-Time Employee Hours FY2018 Attachment to Schedule 4
- Reconciliation of Cost Plan vs. Revenue and Expenses Schedule 5
- Estimate of Direct Base Costs Incurred Under Federal and State Reimbursement for FY 2020;
- FY 2018 CAFR For complete report see Tulare County Auditor/Controller website (http://tularecounty.ca.gov/auditorcontroller/);
- FY 2018 Negotiated Agreement Countywide Cost Allocation Plan;
- FY 2018 Chart identifying the organizational structure of the Tulare County Resource Management Agency – Economic Development and Planning with a functional statement noting the duties or responsibilities of all of the Branch units;
- FY 2020 Chart identifying the organizational structure of the Tulare County Resource Management Agency – Economic Development and Planning with a functional statement noting the duties or responsibilities of all of the Branch units.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hestitate to contact Sherman Dix at (559) 624-7030. Thank you for your courtesy and consideration.

Cass Cook

Auditor/ Controller - Treasurer/ Tax Collector

Sincerely,

Michael Washam

ŘMA Associate Director

Sherman Dix

Assistant RMA Director-Fiscal Services

Enclosure: Copy of Excel File on CD

Attachment "B"

List of Projects

Measure R	6,309							5,022	2,007		18,352					13.191	5,162	180,000	000	000,1						20,000	0	300,000	000'6			1 130 000			000	000,616	1,100,000		91.500											3,391,542		
Meas	v							s	s		S						S		us u	n						s		ю v	,			5				9	3 1,10		s											\$ 3,39		
State																					\$1,440,000			\$ 753,000																										\$ 2,277,000		
Federal	April 100 miles	\$ 45,000		7		255,000	-			55,000	•				165,000					\$ 1,337,000		238,000					210,000			\$ 219,600			138,000					5 000		2,000		235,000		53,000						\$10,240,309		
Total		612,000				250,000			17,500						165,000			180,000	000,1			733,000 \$	11,000	748.000	5,000		210,000 \$	290,000				1,125,000	136,000 \$		578,000	560,000	5,678,000	5,148,000		\$ 000'\$	10,000	210,000		43,000 \$		6,184,000	5,000	736,000				
Construction Contract	30,000 \$			2,300,000 \$	us u	9 69	1,000,000 \$	69	us u	45.000 \$		S	69	us u	A G	· 69	S	S (A U			\$ 000,099	A V	655.000 \$		S		\$ 000,001	220,000 \$		vs v				\$ 000,000		5,575,000 \$		· 69	S	us u	n v	·	s c		\$ 000'988'5		640,000 \$		32,491,000 \$		
Fixed Co Assets (vs v	n un		ь			S			ь	Š									s	69	S		S	į	Ç	us u	A	S			ı	B	69 (A U	•	69 (A							G	9 49	6	A		ι, (s)		
Fight of Way A		10,000	50,000		10,000	000		1,500	2,500												1,500		2,000	200,0		00000	130,000	130,000		150,000	200,000	10,000	E .			375,000			20,000			100.000	18,000	15,000					- 1	1,253,500 \$		
Consultant Rig	20,000	-			100,000			S	\$ 000'6		120,000	180,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	000'09		30,000		3,000	3,000,8	3,000	v	3,000		•	un u	A		S	\$ 40.000	\$	the section of the se	2,000	3,000	40,000 \$	3,000	000's	2,500 \$			10.000		ss.	12.500	17,000				\$ 1,817,000 \$ 1		
Property Mgt Co	69	69	49	s e	n v	ω,	Ø	•	\$ 10,000		us.	69 (A U	9 69	69	(A		69	69	w		ь							¥	÷		69 6	e va	· 69	69 6	Ð	S			G			G	o vo		0 60	- 1	\$.10,000 \$1,		
Planning			\$ 2,000	3,000		\$ 5,000						\$ 15,000		000001		\$ 5,000					\$ 200		\$ 1,000							\$ 1,000			\$ 1,000	4		\$ 5,000			\$ 1,000							\$ 1,000	1 000			\$ 103,100 \$		
Operations																																																				
Design	5,000	15,000	30,000	000,07	15,000	45,000	150,000	20,000	5,000	10,000	30,000	30,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	45,000	1,000	1,000	169,000	130,000	000001	55,000	000'06	5,000	30,000	60,000	5,000	30,000	85,000	10,000	115,000	35,000	20,000	30,000	140,000	100,000	5,000	000'09	5,000	5,000	100,000	30,000	28,000	168,000	280,000	95,000	20,000	CC-0	3,332,000 \$	103 100	
	so so	S	ss (n u	· 69	s	69 (n u	9 69	69	69	us u	9 0	ю	69	s,	us u	e ve	o vo	s	ss s	e e	ω,	69	s c	A 64	e vo	о 69	S	us u	e es	69	6 9 (ss ss	o vo	s	us u	S	4	ss s	n vo	69	.	es ve	o vo	G) G	A G	69	S	A	ø	·
W Projects	geu Kall ge Revegetation Revegetation	Sand Creek	Traver Canal	utchilmna Ditch	ttonwood Creek	M375 Mineral King/ East Fork Kaweah River	er Rancheria Creek	Taintenance Group 1	evegetation	Bridge Bear Creek @Rancheria Revegetation	Bates Slough	ant-Kern Canal Branch Tule Diver	raver Canal	Sand Creek	keland Canal	ite River	Tule River	strian Improvement	estrian Improvement	et Improvements	Improvement	ike Route Phase 1A	lk Improvement	ilk Improvement	Allensworth Elementary Sidewalk improvement	e to Road Too	age Improvements	9 Interchange)	fety Improvements	nprovements	Rehabilitation	ve Improvement	RXR Xing	ects Phase 5	ects Phase 6	Soshen	Project #1	ng (Ivanhoe)	uce Signal	ty Improvements	Improvements	Roundabout	1 A240 and Rd140	rail Replacement	cts #1 (Multiple)	cts #2 (Multiple)	Intersection Improvement Program	Traver)			les to Federal/State	
2019/20FY PW Projects	Avenue 416 Bridge Revegetation Bridge M319 Revegetation	Bridge A392@Sand Creek	Bridge A424@Traver Canal	Bridge M340@3. Fork Naweall Bridge R204@Witchilmna Ditch	Bridge A364@Cottonwood Creek	375 Mineral King/ Eax	Bear Creek Road over Rancheria Creek	Bridge Preventative Maintenance Group 1	Bridge D39 Revegetation	dge Bear Creek @Ra	Bridge R112 Bates Slough	Bridge A174 Frant-Kern Canal Bridge R112 North Branch Tule Biver	Bridge A376 Traver Canal	Bridge A428 Sand Creek	Bridge A108 Lakeland Canal	M109 White River	Avenue 152 Lule River MR BRMP	Balch Park and Pedestrian Improvement	Bartlett Park and Pedestrian Improvement	Traver Jacob Street Improvements	Fadinari Park Sidewalk Ingrovement	Goshen Community Bike Route Phase 1A	Earlimart Sidewalk Improvement	Woodville Sidewalk Improvement	ensworth Elementary	A152 Safety Improvements	A416 at Rd48 Drainage Improvements	Betty Drive (SH99 Interchange)	A146 (Worth Dr.) Safety Improvements	A328 Safety Improvements A332 Safety Improvements	Rd 80 Phase 1A Rehabilitation	Goshen Harvest Ave Improvement	Avenue 80 RXR Xing	Community Projects Phase 2	Community Projects Phase 6	Road 64 Goshen	2019 RRAA Project #1	Ave 336 RR Xing (Ivanhoe)	A256 @ Spruce Signal	Spacer Drive Safety Improvements HSIP Cycle 10	Terra Bella ADA Improvements	A144 and R96 Roundabout	A256 and Rd164 and A240 and Rd140	Piedra Drive Guardrail Replacement	2020 RRAA Projects #1 (Multiple)	2020 RRAA Projects #2 (Multiple)	Intersection Improvement Program	Rule 20A (Traver)	Rule 20A (Earlimart)	oral budgeted	Estimated Labor Charges to Federal/State	Principal description of the second s

Attachment "C"

Complete Indirect Cost Rate Proposal FY 2020

ICAP/ICRP SUBMISSION CERTIFICATION

Tulare County Resource Management Agency Economic Development and Planning Branch Indirect Cost Rate FY 2020

The indirect cost rate plan contained herein is for use on grants, contracts and other agreements with the Federal Government and the California Department of Transportation (Department), subject to the provisions in Section II. This rate was prepared by the Tulare County Resource Management Agency (RMA) and accepted by the Department.

SECTION I: Rates

Rate Type	Effective Period	Rate	Applicable to
Fixed w/carry forward* Planning Branch	7/1/19 to 6/30/20	82.42%	Economic Development and
Fringe Benefit** Planning Branch	7/1/19 to 6/30/20	68.00%	Economic Development and

* Base: Total Direct Salaries and Wages plus Fringe Benefits

** Base: Total Salaries and Wages

SECTION II: General Provisions

A. Limitations:

The rate in this Agreement is subject to any statutory or administrative limitations and applies to a given grant, contract, or other agreement only to the extent that funds are available. Acceptance of the rate is subject to the following conditions: (1) Only costs incurred by RMA were included in its indirect cost pool as finally accepted and lists such costs are legal obligations of RMA and are allowable under the governing cost principles; (2) The same costs that have been treated as indirect costs are not claimed as direct costs; (3) Similar types of costs have been accorded consistent accounting treatment; (4) The information provided by RMA which was used to establish the rate is not later found to be materially incomplete or inaccurate by the Federal Government or the Department. In such situations, the rate would be subject to renegotiation at the discretion of the Federal Government or the Department; (5) Prior actual costs used in the calculation of the approved rate are contained in RMA's Single Audit which was prepared in accordance with 2 CFR 200. If a Single Audit is not required to be performed, then audited financial statements should be used to support the prior actual costs; and, (6) This rate is based on an estimate of the costs to be incurred during the period.

B. Accounting Changes:

This Agreement is based on the accounting system purported by RMA to be in effect during the Agreement period. Changes to the method of accounting for costs which affect the amount of reimbursement resulting from the use of this Agreement require prior approval of the authorized representative of the cognizant agency. Such changes include, but are not limited to, changes in the charging of a particular type of cost from indirect to direct. Failure to obtain approval may result in cost disallowances.

C. Fixed Rate with Carry Forward:

The fixed rate used in this Agreement is based on an estimate of the costs for the period covered by the rate. When the actual costs for the period are determined by RMA's audited financial statements, any differences between the application of the fixed rate and actual costs will result in an over or under recovery of costs. The recovery will be carried forward, as an adjustment to the calculation of the indirect cost rate(s), to the second fiscal year subsequent to the fiscal year covered by this plan. (Note: If a predetermined rate(s) or final rate(s) are used, then the carry forward provision does not apply.)

D. Audit Adjustments:

Immaterial adjustments resulting from the audit of information contained in this plan shall be compensated for in the subsequent indirect cost plans approved after the date of the audit adjustment. Material audit adjustments will require reimbursement from RMA. For rates covering a future fiscal year, unallowable costs will be removed from the indirect cost pool(s) and the rate(s) appropriately adjusted.

E. Record Retention:

The proposal and all related documentation must be retained for audit in accordance with the record retention requirements of the State or Federal agreements for which the indirect rate will be billed or for three years after the fiscal year for which the rate is calculated, whichever is longer.

F. Use by Other Federal Agencies:

Authority to accept this Agreement by the Department has been delegated by the Federal Highway Administration, California Division. The purpose of this acceptance is to permit the RMA to bill indirect costs to Title 23 funded projects administered by the Federal Department of Transportation (DOT). The acceptance will also be used by the Department in state-only funded projects.

G. Other:

If any Federal contract, grant, or other agreement is reimbursing indirect costs by a means other than the accepted rate in this Agreement, RMA shall (1) credit such costs to the affected programs, and (2) apply the accepted rate to the appropriate base to identify the proper amount of indirect costs allocable to these programs.

H. Rate Calculation for Fixed Rate without Carryforward:

FY 2020 Estimated Indirect Costs

\$ 852,892

FY 2018 Carry Forward

\$ 129,549

FY 2020 Estimated Indirect Costs	\$ 982,441
FY 2020 Estimated Direct Salaries and Wages Plus Fringe Benefits	\$1,192,062
FY 2020 Indirect Cost Rate (Exhibit A)	82.42%
Fringe Benefits:	
FY 2020 Estimated Total Fringe Benefits	\$ 486,052
FY 2018 Carry Forward	\$ 73,019
Estimated Fringe Benefits	\$ 559,071
FY 2020 Estimated Total Salaries and Wages	\$ 822,120
FY 2020 Fringe Benefits Rate (Schedule 4)	68.00%

CERTIFICATION OF INDIRECT COSTS

This is to certify that I, Reed Schenke, have reviewed the indirect cost rate proposal submitted herewith and to the best of my knowledge and belief affirm as follows:

- (1) All costs included in the proposal to establish billing of an indirect cost rate for fiscal year 2020 (July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020) are allowable in accordance with the requirements of the Federal and State award(s) to which they apply and 2 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 200, "Cost Principles", Subpart E, and Appendices V and VII for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments. Unallowable costs have been adjusted for in allocating costs as indicated in the cost allocation plan.
- (2) All costs included in this proposal are properly allocable to Federal and State awards on the basis of a beneficial or causal relationship between the expenses incurred and the agreements to which they are allocated in accordance with applicable requirements. Further, the same costs that have been treated as indirect costs have not been claimed as direct costs. Similar types of costs have been accounted for consistently and the Federal Government and the Department will be notified of any accounting changes that would affect the final rate.
- (3) I understand that during the predetermined or extension period, there will be no changes to the ICAP/ICRP calculation methodology used, no changes to our financial management system (i.e change in processes, on in accounting software), and no substantial changes to our organizational structure and program(s).

- (4) I understand that if a rate extension is granted, I may not request a rate review until the extension period ends and that at the end of the extension period, I must re-apply to develop and negotiate a rate.
- (5) I understand that the ICAP/ICRP package along with all supporting documentation from which the proposed rates are developed must be retained for audit in accordance with the record retention requirements of the State or Federal agreements for which the indirect rate will be billed or for three years after the fiscal year for which the rate is calculated, whichever is longer.
- (6) Additionally, I understand that in accordance with 2 CFR, Part 200, Appendix VII, refunds shall be made if proposals are later found to have included costs that are unallowable as specified by law or regulation, as identified in Subsection 200.420 to this part, or by the terms and conditions of Federal and State award, or are unallowable because they are clearly not allocable to Federal or State awards. These adjustments or refunds will be made regardless of the type of rate(s) negotiated (predetermined, final, fixed or provisional). For rates covering a future fiscal year (i.e. extended rates), the unallowable costs will be removed from the indirect cost pool(s) and the rate(s) appropriately adjusted for all fiscal years covered by the extension.

I acknowledge as a representative of Tulare County Resource Management Agency that the proper use and application of the indirect rate contained in this indirect cost rate proposal is the responsibility of the Tulare County Resource Management Agency and such use may be subject to audit by the Department or Federal Highway Administration. Failure to cooperate with an audit can result in the withdrawal of Department acceptance and require immediate reimbursement of previously reimbursed indirect costs.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct.	
Government Unit: Tulare County Resource Mar	agement Agency
Signature: 124	Signature:
Reviewed, Approved and Submitted by:	Prepared by:
Name of Official: Reed Schenke	Name of Official: Sherman Dix
Title: RMA Director	Title: RMA Assist Director-Fiscal Services
Date of Execution: 11/6/19	Telephone No: (559) 624-7000
INDIRECT COST RATE SUBMISSION ACC	CEPTANCE
The Department has received this ICAP/ICRP a purposes.	nd accepts the plan for billing and reimbursement
Accepted by:	
MARSUE MORRILL, CPA Chief	
Planning and Modal Office	
Independent Office of Audits and Investigat California Department of Transportation	ions
(916) 323-7105	
Signature	
Date:	