



RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY county of tulare agenda item

ALLEN ISHIDA
District One

CONNIE CONWAY
District Two

PHILLIP A. COX
District Three

J. STEVEN WORTHLEY
District Four

MIKE ENNIS
District Five

AGENDA DATE:

June 12, 2007

Public Hearing Required Scheduled Public Hearing w/Clerk Published Notice Required Advertised Published Notice	Yes X Yes X Yes X	No No	N/A N/A N/A N/A			
Meet & Confer Required	Yes [No 🗌	N/A	\boxtimes		
Electronic file(s) has been sent	Yes 🗵] No 📙	N/A			
Budget Transfer (Aud 308) attached	Yes _] No 🗌	N/A	\boxtimes		
Personnel Resolution attached	Yes 🗌] No 🗌	N/A	\boxtimes		
Resolution, Ordinance or Agreeme	ents are	attached	and sig	nature	line	for
Chairman is marked with tab(s)/flag(s)Yes 🗵] No 🗌	N/A			
CONTACT PERSON: Celeste Perez	PHONE	E: 559-733	-6291			

SUBJECT:

Conduct a Public Hearing for consideration of sewer and water user fee adjustments for specific County Service Area Zones of Benefit.

REQUEST(S):

That the Board of Supervisors:

- 1. Conduct the Public Hearing to consider increasing fees for the service recommended in Table A.
- 2. Upon closing of the Public Hearing, approve the proposed fees effective July 1, 2007.

SUMMARY:

In the late 1980's and early 1990's, the County constructed eight (8) sewer systems and three (3) water systems utilizing various state and federal grant and loan programs. The County administers the maintenance of these facilities through contracted services. The County submits bills and collects fees for service in an attempt to cover the annual operation and maintenance costs incurred.

The proposed rate increases are for the El Rancho, Seville, Tonyville, Tooleville, Yettem and Wells Tract sewer systems in addition to the Delft Colony and Yettem water systems. There are no proposed rate increases for the Delft Colony and Traver sewer systems nor are there any proposed rate increases for the Wells Tract water system.

Table 1 outlines estimated expenses and revenues for each of the systems in

SUBJECT: Public Hearing for consideration of sewer and water fee adjustments

DATE: June 12, 2007

County Service Areas 1 and 2 and identifies their projected net operating cost for fiscal year 2006/07. A shortfall of approximately \$106,000 in net costs is anticipated for all the systems combined. Historically, this shortfall is recovered by borrowing funds from the County's Revolving Fund which totals approximately \$595,000 to date.

Table 2 outlines estimated expenses and revenues for each of the systems and identifies their projected net operating cost for fiscal year 2007/2008 with the current rate structure.

Table 3 outlines estimated expenses and revenues for each of the systems and identifies their projected net operating cost for fiscal year 2007/2008 with the proposed rate structure.

Table 4 outlines the necessary fee increases to allow each system to recover all its costs (except depreciation and Revolving Loan Fund principal repayment).

The proposed fee adjustments are in accordance with Resolution No. 94-0356 in which your Board established a maximum increase for residential and commercial fees at 10% per year. By approving the recommended rate increase, the Revolving Fund impact will be approximately \$120,290 for the 2007/08 fiscal year.

The significant increase in expenditures is due to several factors. Wastewater treatment rates were increased by the city of Lindsay which treats the wastewater from the El Rancho and Tonyville sewer systems. Wastewater treatment rates were also increased by the Cutler-Orosi Joint Powers Wastewater Authority which treats the wastewater from the Seville and Yettem sewer systems. Lastly, wastewater treatment rates were increased by the city of Woodlake which treats the wastewater from the Wells Tract sewer system.

Other increased expenditures include an increase in Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) fees for both existing individual system waste discharge requirements and their new Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems, Order No. 2006-0003.

Lastly, increased expenditures for electricity will impact the Delft Colony and Yettem water systems. Other operational issues impacting electricity usage included the need to run the aerators at the Tooleville and Traver sewer facilities for extended periods of time in order to maintain the minimum required concentration of dissolved oxygen as required by the regulatory agencies.

Grant guidelines require all proposed sewer and water fees to be submitted to the granting agencies for review and approval. A copy of this agenda item was transmitted to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and U. S. Department of Agriculture's Rural Development (RD) for review. An update on SWRCB's and RD's comments to these proposed fees will be submitted to your

SUBJECT: Public Hearing for consideration of sewer and water fee adjustments

DATE: June 12, 2007

Board when received on or prior to the June 12, 2007 Public Hearing.

Proposition 218 required that operation and maintenance systems give a 45 day notice period to all residents prior to the fee hearing advising them of their right to protest. A vote by the residents of the Zones of Benefit is not required.

On April 17, 2007, your Board approved the Notice of Public Hearing and set the Public Hearing for June 12, 2007, at 9:30 A.M. On April 19, 2007, notices were mailed to the residents notifying them of the proposed rate increase and the time and date of the Public Hearing.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING:

By approving the recommended rate increase, the Revolving Fund impact will be approximately \$120,290 for the 2007/08 fiscal year. If the recommended rate increases are not approved, the Revolving Fund impact will be approximately \$145,226 for the 2007/08 fiscal year.

LINKAGE TO THE COUNTY OF TULARE STRATEGIC BUSINESS PLAN:

The proposed rate increases assist the County in its efforts to provide for the safety and security of the general public by providing for an adequate and safe water supply.

ALTERNATIVES:

- 1. Do not approve the recommended rate increase. This alternative is not recommended since the proposed rate increase is required to reduce the amount of funds borrowed from the Revolving Fund.
- 2. Consider an increase different than the recommended 10%. Consideration of a rate increase in excess of 10% would require a change in Board policy as established by Resolution No. 94-0356. At the time of the Public Hearing, the Board can consider any rate adjustment equal to or less than that identified in the public notice.

INVOLVEMENT OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS OR AGENCIES:

- 1. U. S. Department of Agriculture and SWRCB have been sent the agenda item setting the Public Hearing for their review.
- 2. The Auditor's Office has reviewed the methodology used in establishing the requested user fee adjustments.
- 3. County Counsel has reviewed the Agenda Item, the Resolution and the Notice.

SUBJECT:

Public Hearing for consideration of sewer and water fee adjustments

DATE:

June 12, 2007

ADMINISTRATIVE SIGN-OFF:

Bett Fuskel

Assistant Director/County Surveyor

Henry Hast

Director

CC:

Auditor/Controller

County Counsel

County Administrative Office (2)

5/14/07

Attachment(s)

Tables 1-4

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF TULARE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF SEWER AND WATER USER FEE ADJUSTMENTS FOR SPECIFIC COUNTY SERVICE AREA ZONES OF BENEFIT) RESOLUTION NO))
UPON MOTION OF SUPERVIS	SOR, SECONDED BY
SUPERVISOR	, THE FOLLOWING WAS ADOPTED BY THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AT AN	OFFICIAL MEETING HELD JUNE 12, 2007, BY
THE FOLLOWING VOTE:	
AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT:	
ATTEST:	C. BRIAN HADDIX COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER CLERK, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
BY:	Deputy Clerk
	* * * *

- 1. Conducted the Public Hearing to consider increasing fees for the service recommended in Table A.
- 2. Closed the Public Hearing and approved the proposed fees effective July 1, 2007.

TABLE A

Basis of Fees: The fees are determined by calculating the total expense of operation and maintenance of each system.

The expense is divided by the number of dwellings in each service area, which determines the actual recovery cost per dwelling. The present fees are compared to the recovery costs and adjusted within the guidelines to establish the proposed fees. The proposed fees contribute proportionally to the total expense of each system.

Description of Fee	Current Fees	Proposed Fees	Description of Fee	Current Fees	Proposed Fees
SEWER			WATER		
701 51 5					
Z01 El Rancho Monthly Residential Service	\$ 45.50	\$ 50.25			
Wonding Residential Service	Ψ - -3.30	\$ 50.25			
Z10 Delft Colony			Z11 Delft Colony		
Monthly Residential Service	\$ 41.00	\$ 41.00	Monthly Residential Service	\$ 34.25	\$ 37.75
Z50 Seville					
Monthly Residential Service	\$ 44.75	\$ 49.25			
		\$167.75			
770 T. 11					j
Z60 Tonyville	A 40.77	0.45.00			
Monthly Residential Service	\$ 40.75	\$ 45.00			
Z70 Tooleville					
Monthly Residential Service	\$ 44.25	\$ 48.75			
Z80 Traver					
Monthly Residential Service	¢ 24.75	\$ 24.75			
Wollding Residential Service	\$ 24.73	\$ 24.73			
Z90 Yettem			Z91 Yettem		
Monthly Residential Service	\$ 57.25	\$ 63.00	Monthly Residential Service	\$ 38.00	\$ 42.00
School	£70.00	£07.00	School	0.42.05	0.47.75
Yettem Continuation Learning Center	\$79.00 \$72.50	\$87.00 \$79.75	Yettem Continuation Learning Center	\$43.25 \$43.25	\$47.75 \$47.75
Dearning Center	Ψ12.50	Ψ19.13	Learning Center	Φ 4 3.23	Φ41.13
Z96 Wells Tract			Z95 Wells Tract		İ
Monthly Residential Service	\$ 42.25	\$ 46.50	Monthly Residential Service	\$ 26.75	\$ 26.75

TABLE 1

ESTIMATED NET COSTS

FOR 2006/2007 FISCAL YEAR COUNTY SERVICE AREAS # 1 AND # 2

Sewer System		Estimated Expenses		Estimated Revenue	Less	Less Depreciation Expenses		Net Cost	ਹੈ	Current Fees
1 El Rancho ZOB	S	37,432	↔	13,760	€9	7.693	64	15 979	6	05.50
2 Delft Colony ZOB	∽	71,384	8	52,930	€9	29.655	, 6	(11,201)	• 64	41.00
3 Seville ZOB	S	83,185	€9	51,095	~	24,355	6 9	7.735	•	44.75
4 Tonyville ZOB	∽	68,150	€>	35,361	€9	23,717	69	9.072	e 64	40.75
5 Tooleville ZOB	↔	105,487	6 3	39,045	⇔	21,618	6	44.824	· 64	44.25
	∽	97,249	S	61,463	€9	47,140	€9	(11.354)	6 4	24.75
	∽	75,111	₩,	46,621	69	15,109	69	13.381	· 64	\$7.75
8 Wells Tract ZOB	69	42,053	⇔	30,246	⇔	8,391	€9	3,416	69	42.25
Water System							1			
1 Delft Colony ZOB	€3	66,173	€9	42,047	~	19,038	∽	5.088	€9	34.25
2 Yettem ZOB	69	53,738	S	30,941	69	15,989	69	6.808	69	38.00
3 Wells Tract ZOB	∽	22,569	69	21,608	€9	8,507	€3	(7,546)	€4	26.75
TOTAL	€	722,531 \$	↔	425,117 \$	↔	221,212 \$	€5	76,202		
Estimated Revolving Fund Loan	Loan						€9	106,303		

¹⁾ Negative values in "net cost" offset a portion of the depreciation expense.

TABLE 2

ESTIMATED NET COSTS WITH CURRENT RATES

FOR 2007/2008 FISCAL YEAR COUNTY SERVICE AREAS # 1 AND # 2

\$ 41,420 \$ 14,306 \$ 7,693 \$ \$ 80,666 \$ 54,154 \$ 29,655 \$ \$ \$ 91,247 \$ 53,769 \$ 24,355 \$ \$ \$ 10,247 \$ 39,462 \$ 21,618 \$ \$ 103,701 \$ 59,790 \$ 47,140 \$ \$ 80,909 \$ 46,573 \$ 15,109 \$ \$ 46,340 \$ 30,598 \$ 15,989 \$ \$ \$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ \$ 8,507 \$ \$ \$ \$ 783,879 \$ 429,563 \$ \$ 221,212 \$ \$	Sewer System		Estimated Expenses	H	Estimated Revenue	Less	Less Depreciation Expenses	_	Net Cost ¹	Cn	Current Fees
Delft Colony ZOB \$ 80,666 \$ 54,154 \$ 29,655 \$ Seville ZOB \$ 91,247 \$ 53,769 \$ 24,355 \$ Tonyville ZOB \$ 70,818 \$ 35,297 \$ 23,717 \$ Tooleville ZOB \$ 101,674 \$ 39,462 \$ 21,618 \$ Traver ZOB \$ 103,701 \$ 59,790 \$ 47,140 \$ Yettem ZOB \$ 46,340 \$ 30,918 \$ 8,391 \$ Wells Tract ZOB \$ 84,952 \$ 43,370 \$ 8,391 \$ Vettem ZOB \$ 58,068 \$ 30,598 \$ 15,989 \$ Vettem ZOB \$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ 8,507 \$ Wells Tract ZOB \$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ 8,507 \$ TOTAL \$ 783,879 \$ 429,563 \$ 221,212 \$ 1	1 El Rancho ZOB	∽	41,420	∽	14,306	€9	7.693	69	19.421	Ç.	45 50
\$ 91,247 \$ 53,769 \$ 24,355 \$ \$ \$ 70,818 \$ 35,297 \$ 23,717 \$ \$ \$ 101,674 \$ 39,462 \$ 21,618 \$ \$ \$ 103,701 \$ 59,790 \$ 47,140 \$ \$ \$ 80,909 \$ 46,573 \$ 15,109 \$ \$ \$ 46,340 \$ 30,918 \$ 8,391 \$ \$ \$ 84,952 \$ 43,370 \$ 19,038 \$ \$ \$ 58,068 \$ 30,598 \$ 15,989 \$ \$ \$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ 8,507 \$ \$ \$ \$ 783,879 \$ 429,563 \$ \$ 221,212 \$ \$ 1	2 Delft Colony ZOB	69	80,666	69	54,154	€	29,655	, 69	(3.143)	· 64	41.00
\$ 70,818 \$ 35,297 \$ 23,717 \$ 5 101,674 \$ 39,462 \$ 21,618 \$ 5 103,701 \$ 59,790 \$ 47,140 \$ 5 80,909 \$ 46,573 \$ 15,109 \$ 5 46,340 \$ 30,918 \$ 8,391 \$ 5 58,068 \$ 30,598 \$ 15,989 \$ 5 58,068 \$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ 8,507 \$ 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3 Seville ZOB	69	91,247	69	53,769	€9	24,355	€9	13,123	69	44.75
\$ 101,674 \$ 39,462 \$ 21,618 \$ \$ \$ 103,701 \$ 59,790 \$ 47,140 \$ \$ \$ 80,909 \$ 46,573 \$ 15,109 \$ \$ \$ 46,340 \$ 30,918 \$ \$ 8,391 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		\$	70,818	€9	35,297	€9	23,717	69	11,804	63	40.75
\$ 103,701 \$ 59,790 \$ 47,140 \$ \$ \$ 80,909 \$ 46,573 \$ 15,109 \$ \$ 46,340 \$ 30,918 \$ 8,391 \$ \$ \$ 46,340 \$ 30,918 \$ \$ 8,391 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		∽	101,674	S	39,462	€9	21,618	S	40,594	Ś	44.25
\$ 80,909 \$ 46,573 \$ 15,109 \$ \$ 46,340 \$ 30,918 \$ 8,391 \$ \$ 84,952 \$ 43,370 \$ 19,038 \$ \$ 58,068 \$ 30,598 \$ 15,989 \$ \$ \$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ 8,507 \$ \$ \$ 783,879 \$ 429,563 \$ 221,212 \$ 1		69	103,701	69	59,790	69	47,140	S	(3,229)	69	24.75
\$ 46,340 \$ 30,918 \$ 8,391 \$ \$ 84,952 \$ 43,370 \$ 19,038 \$ \$ 58,068 \$ 30,598 \$ 15,989 \$ \$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ 8,507 \$ \$ 783,879 \$ 429,563 \$ 221,212 \$ 1	7 Yettem ZOB	69	80,909	۶	46,573	8	15,109	S	19,227	69	57.25
\$ 84,952 \$ 43,370 \$ 19,038 \$ \$ 58,068 \$ 30,598 \$ 15,989 \$ \$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ 8,507 \$ \$ 783,879 \$ 429,563 \$ 221,212 \$ 1		69	46,340	643	30,918	69	8,391	↔	7,031	€9	42.25
\$ 84,952 \$ 43,370 \$ 19,038 \$ \$ \$ 58,068 \$ 30,598 \$ 15,989 \$ \$ \$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ 8,507 \$ \$ \$ 783,879 \$ 429,563 \$ 221,212 \$ 1	Water System										
\$ 58,068 \$ 30,598 \$ 15,989 \$ \$ \$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ 8,507 \$ \$ \$ \$ 783,879 \$ 429,563 \$ 221,212 \$ 1	Delft Colony ZOB	S	84,952	∽	43,370	€9	19,038	€9	22,544	€9	34.25
\$ 24,084 \$ 21,326 \$ 8,507 \$ \$ 783,879 \$ 429,563 \$ 221,212 \$ 1	Yettem ZOB	69	58,068	\$	30,598	€9	15,989	S	11,481	64	38.00
\$ 783,879 \$ 429,563 \$	Wells Tract ZOB	\$	24,084	⇔	21,326	69	8,507	€9	(5,749)	↔	26.75
	TOTAL	₩	783,879	~ >	429,563	∽	221,212	6 9	133,104		
•	Estimated Revolving Fund	Loan						69	145,226		

¹⁾ Negative values in "net cost" offset a portion of the depreciation expense.

TABLE 3

ESTIMATED NET COSTS WITH PROPOSED RATE INCREASE

FOR 2007/2008 FISCAL YEAR COUNTY SERVICE AREAS # 1 AND # 2

Sewer System		Estimated Expenses		Estimated Revenue	Less	Less Depreciation Expenses		Net Cost	Curr	ent Fees	Prop	Current Fees Proposed Fees	
1 El Rancho ZOB	↔	41,420	€9	15,788	€5	7.693	69	17 939	~	45.50		30.03	*
2 Delft Colony ZOB	6 9	80,666	€3	54,154	↔	29,655	. €9	(3, 143)	• • •	41.00	9 6	20.23	٠
3 Seville ZOB	\$	91,247	69	58,411	€9	24,355	69	8.481		44.75	9 6	41.00	*
4 Tonyville ZOB	⇔	70,818	S	38,661	S	23,717	€9	8.440	. 6 9	40.75		45.00	*
5 Tooleville ZOB	€9	101,674	S	43,348	S	21,618	€3	36,708	· 69	44.25		48.75	*
6 Traver ZOB	S	103,701	€3	59,790	S	47,140	69	(3.229)		24.75		24.07	
/ Yettem ZOB	∽	80,909	69	49,389	69	15,109	S	16,411		\$7.75		63.00	*
8 Wells Tract ZOB	S	46,340	∽	33,792	S	8,391	64	4,157		42.25		46.50	*
Water System													
1 Delft Colony ZOB	∽	84,952	∽	46,170	~	19,038	69	19.744	Ç.	34.25	J	37.75	*
2 Yettem ZOB	∽	58,068	69	33,670	69	15,989	4	8 400		20.00	9 6	07.70	
3 Wells Tract ZOB	S	24,084	↔	21,326	€9	8,507	÷ •	(5,749)		26.75	9 69	42.00 26.75	٠
													ı
IOIAL	∽	783,879	∽	454,499 \$	∽	221,212	S	108,168					
Estimated Revolving Fund Loan	oan						6	120 290					1

^{*} Proposed 10% Increase

¹⁾ Negative values in "net cost" offset a portion of the depreciation expense.

TABLE 4

ESTIMATED REQUIRED RATE INCREASE TO ESTABLISH ZERO NET COSTS FOR 2007/2008 FISCAL YEAR COUNTY SERVICE AREAS # 1 AND # 2

Sewer System		Estimated Expenses		Estimated Revenue		Less Depreciation Expenses		Net Cost ¹		Current Fees		Required Fees for Zero Net Cost	
1 El Rancho ZOB	•	41.420	\$	22 906	\$	7,693	\$	(79)	•	45.50	s	108.00	
	\$ \$	41,420	\$	33,806 54,154	\$	7,693 29,655	\$	(3,143)		43.30	\$ \$	41.00	
2 Delft Colony ZOB	-	80,666	- 1	,	7		\$ \$			44.75	\$	57.75	
3 Seville ZOB	\$	91,247	\$	67,183	\$	24,355	_	(291)			\$ \$		_
4 Tonyville ZOB	\$	70,818	\$	47,373	\$	23,717	\$	(272)		40.75	•	56.00	
5 Tooleville ZOB	\$	101,674	\$	80,068	\$	21,618	\$	(12)		44.25	\$	91.25	
6 Traver ZOB	\$	103,701	\$	59,790	\$	47,140	\$	(3,229)		24.75	\$	24.75	
7 Yettem ZOB	\$	80,909	\$	65,965	\$	15,109	\$	(165)	\$	57.25	\$	82.50	е
8 Wells Tract ZOB	\$	46,340	\$	38,529	\$	8,391	\$	(580)	\$	42.25	\$	53.00	f
Water System													
1 Delft Colony ZOB	\$	84,952	\$	66,170	\$	19,038	\$	(256)	\$	34.25	\$	53.25	g
2 Yettem ZOB	\$	58,068	\$	42,310	\$	15,989	\$	(231)	\$	38.00	\$	53.25	h
3 Wells Tract ZOB	\$	24,084	\$	21,326	\$	8,507	\$	(5,749)		26.75	\$	26.75	
TOTAL	\$	783,879	\$	576,674	\$	221,212	\$	(14,007)					

Estimated Revolving Fund Loan

\$

- a) 137% Increase
- b) 29% Increase
- c) 37% Increase
- d) 106% Increase
- e) 44% Increase
- f) 25% Increase
- g) 55% Increase
- h) 40% Increase
- 1) Negative values in "net cost" offset a portion of the depreciation expense.