



RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY COUNTY OF TULARE AGENDA ITEM

ALLEN ISHIDA District One

PETE VANDER POEL

PHILLIP A. COX District Three

J STEVEN WORTHLEY District Four

> MIKE ENNIS District Five

Α	GE	NDA	DATE:	July 27,	2010

Scheduled Public Hearing w/Clerk Published Notice Required Advertised Published Notice Meet & Confer Required Electronic file(s) has been sent Budget Transfer (Aud 308) attached Personnel Resolution attached Resolution, Ordinance or Agreements Chairman is marked with tab(s)/flag(s) Ye						
CONTACT PERSON: Celeste Perez PHONE: 624-7000						

SUBJECT:

Appeal of the Zoning Administrator's Decision No. 3002 to deny

Zone Variance No. PZV 08-027 (ZA), south of Porterville

REQUEST(S):

That the Board of Supervisors:

Continue the appeal filed by Robert J. Owen to September 14, 2010 at 9:30 a.m., pending the adoption an Amendment to Section 15.D.2 of the Tulare County Zoning Ordinance pertaining to Division of Land Exceptions in Agricultural Zones (Homesite Parcel Ordinance). Regarding the decision of the Zoning Administrator denying Zone Variance No. PZV 08-027 (ZA) to allow the creation of a 0.37-acre homesite parcel from an original parcel (4.70 acres) containing less acreage than required in the AE-10 (Exclusive Agricultural-10 acre minimum) Zone, on property located on the east side of Road 252 (Plano Street), approximately 1,350 feet south of Scranton Avenue, south of Porterville.

SUMMARY:

The applicant, Robert J. Owen, requested a zone variance to allow a division of property to create a 0.37-acre homesite parcel from an original 4.70-acre parcel containing less acreage than required in the AE-10 Zone (Parcel 1 - 0.37 acre and Parcel 2 - 4.33 acres). Proposed Parcel 1 contains a single-family dwelling and proposed Parcel 2 contains a domestic well with open space.

SUBJECT: Appeal of the Zoning Administrator's Decision No. 3002 to deny Zone

Variance No. PZV 08-027 (ZA), south of Porterville

DATE: July 27, 2010

Public hearings were conducted on October 16, 2008 and October 23, 2008, at which time the Zoning Administrator heard testimony both in support of and opposition to the variance. The Zoning Administrator denied the variance on December 3, 2008, by Decision No. 3002, because the further division of a substandard parcel containing less than the minimum acreage within the AE-10 Zone will reduce any potential agricultural production of the site. In addition, the creation of the 0.37 homesite parcel does not comply with the one-acre minimum requirement of the Tulare County Subdivision Ordinance Section 7-01-1350 for containment of both a well and a septic system.

Public hearings were conducted on February 24, 2009, March 24, 2009, October 20, 2009, January 26, 2010, and April 27, 2010 before the Board of Supervisors at which time the applicant requested the public hearing be continued to July 27, 2010 pending the adoption of an Ordinance Amendment to Section 15.D.2 of the Zoning Ordinance pertaining to Division of Land Exceptions in Agricultural Zones. The Ordinance Amendment to Section 15.D.2 will be presented to the Board of Supervisors for consideration on August 10, 2010.

Public hearings were conducted before the Planning Commission on December 2, 2009, January 27, 2010, February 24, 2010, March 24, 2010, May 12, 2010. and June 9, 2010 to consider the Amendment to Section 15.D.2 of the Zonina Ordinance for recommendation that the Board of Supervisors adopt the proposed Ordinance Amendment. At the June 9, 2010 Planning Commission Commission adopted Resolution No. Planning the recommending that the Board of Supervisors adopt an Ordinance Amendment to Section 15.D.2 of the Zoning Ordinance pertaining to Division of Land Exceptions in Agricultural Zones. The Ordinance Amendment as recommended by the Planning Commission for adoption by the Board of Supervisors requires that the parcel to be divided for the purposes of creating a homesite parcel must contain the minimum acreage in the zone which the property is located. Therefore, if the Amendment is adopted by the Board of Supervisors as recommended by the Planning Commission, the division of land requested by Robert J. Owen will not comply with Zoning requirements.

The Tulare County Zoning Ordinance Section 15.D.2. g. and h. as amended, provides for the division of existing homesite parcels containing less acreage than required in agriculture zones if certain requirements are met. The proposed division of land does not meet the requirement that the parcel being divided must contain the minimum acreage requirement in the applicable zone before a homesite parcel may be divided. The original parcel does not meet the minimum 10-acre requirement; the parcel only contains 4.70 acres.

North: AE-10, AE-20 (Exclusive Agricultural-20 acre minimum), R-A-217 (Rural Residential-217,000 sq. ft. minimum) Zones; agriculture (orchard/open space), and scattered rural residences

SUBJECT: Appeal of the Zoning Administrator's Decision No. 3002 to deny Zone

Variance No. PZV 08-027 (ZA), south of Porterville

DATE: July 27, 2010

East: AE-10; agriculture (open space) and scattered rural residences

West: AE-10; agriculture (orchard) and scattered rural residences

South: AE-10; agriculture (open space) and scattered rural residences

The majority of the substandard parcels in the vicinity, which are under 5-acres, were created prior to the implementation of the Rural Valley Lands Plan in 1977. Since the majority of the parcels that are under 5-acres in this area were created prior to the Rural Valley Lands Plan designation, the granting of the variance constitutes a granting of special privilege.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING:

There is no net County cost to the General Fund. The appellant, Robert J. Owen, has paid \$3,489: the required initial deposit fee of \$1,439 for the variance application, \$1,750 to the General Fund for processing fees and the appeal fee of \$300.

LINKAGE TO THE COUNTY OF TULARE STRATEGIC BUSINESS PLAN:

A goal of the strategic plan is to promote public health and welfare, education, opportunities, natural resource management and continued improvement in the environmental quality. Division of agricultural lands is not conducive to protecting the natural resource of agricultural lands; therefore, the denial is consistent with the goal of Tulare County's Strategic Business Plan 2006-2011.

ALTERNATIVE:

- 1. Deny the appeal filed by Robert J. Owen and uphold the decision of the Zoning Administrator denying Zone Variance No. PZV 08-027 (ZA) to allow the creation of a 0.37 acre homesite parcel from an original parcel (4.70 acres) in the AE-10 (Exclusive Agricultural-10 acre minimum) Zone. The subject property cannot satisfy the criteria set forth in Govt. Code Section 65906, and the mandatory findings for approval as required by the Tulare County Zoning Ordinance. The property is located on the east side of Road 252 (Plano Street), approximately 1,350 feet south of Scranton Avenue, south of Porterville.
- 2. Approve the appeal and thereby conditionally approve the project. However, staff does not recommend this action because the subject site cannot satisfy the mandatory findings for approval as required by the Zoning Ordinance. If this occurs, staff recommends the public hearing be continued for three weeks so that staff may prepare the appropriate documents for approval of the project.

SUBJECT: Appeal of the Zoning Administrator's Decision No. 3002 to deny Zone

Variance No. PZV 08-027 (ZA), south of Porterville

DATE: July 27, 2010

INVOLVEMENT OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS OR AGENCIES:

None

ADMINISTRATIVE SIGN-OFF:

Jake Raper Jr., AICP

Director/

cc: County Counsel

County Administrative Office (3)

Robert J. Owen, 16151 Mustang Drive, Springville, CA 93265 Roberts Engineering, P.O. Box 908, Porterville, CA 93258

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF TULARE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPEAL OF APPEAL OF THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR'S DECISION NO. 3002 TO DENY ZONE VARIANCE NO PZV 08-027 (ZA), SOUTH OF PORTE!) RESOLUTION NO) .)
UPON MOTION OF SUPERVISO	OR, SECONDED BY
SUPERVISOR	_, THE FOLLOWING WAS ADOPTED
BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISOR	S, AT AN OFFICIAL MEETING HELD
, BY THE FOLLOWI	NG VOTE:
AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT: ATTEST:	JEAN M. ROUSSEAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER/ CLERK, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
BY:	Deputy Clerk
* * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *

That the Board of Supervisors:

Continued the appeal filed by Robert J. Owen to September 14, 2010 at 9:30 a.m., pending the adoption an Amendment to Section 15.D.2 of the Tulare County Zoning Ordinance pertaining to Division of Land Exceptions in Agricultural Zones (Homesite Parcel Ordinance). Regarding the decision of the Zoning Administrator denying Zone Variance No. PZV 08-027 (ZA) to allow the creation of a 0.37-acre homesite parcel from an original parcel (4.70 acres) containing less acreage than required in the AE-10 (Exclusive Agricultural-10 acre minimum) Zone, on property located on the east side of Road 252 (Plano Street), approximately 1,350 feet south of Scranton Avenue, south of Porterville.