



# County Administrative Office COUNTY OF TULARE AGENDA ITEM

ALLEN ISHIDA
District One

PETE VANDER POEL
District Two

PHILLIP A. COX
District Three

J. STEVEN WORTHLEY
District Four
MIKE ENNIS

District Five

AGENDA DATE: Ju

June 7, 2011

Public Hearing Required	Yes		No ⊠	N/A			
Scheduled Public Hearing w/Clerk	Yes	Ħ	No 🖂	N/A	$\boxtimes$		
Published Notice Required	Yes	Ħ	No 🕅	N/A	Ħ		
Advertised Published Notice	Yes		No 🗍	N/A	$\overline{\boxtimes}$		ļ
Meet & Confer Required	Yes		No 🔯	N/A			
Electronic file(s) has been sent	Yes	$\boxtimes$	No 🔲	N/A			
Budget Transfer (Aud 308) attached	Yes		No 🗌	N/A	$\boxtimes$		
Personnel Resolution attached	Yes		No 🗌	N/A	$\boxtimes$		
Resolution, Ordinance or Agreeme	nts a	ire att	tached a	nd sig	nature	line	for
Chairman is marked with tab(s)/flag(s	) Yes	$\boxtimes$	No 🗌	N/A			
CONTACT PERSON: Denise Akins	PHO	NE: 6	36-5005				:

### SUBJECT:

Letter of Support for Proposition 84 Round 1 Integrated Regional Water Management Implementation Grant Draft Funding Recommendation

## REQUEST(S):

That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Approve sending a letter of support for Proposition 84 Round 1 Integrated Regional Water Management Implementation Grant Draft Funding Recommendation.

#### **SUMMARY:**

Proposition 84, the Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006, provides funding for projects that assist local public agencies to meet long term water needs including the delivery of safe drinking water and the protection of water quality and the environment.

As part of the Department of Water Resources' (DWR) Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) program, Tulare County participates in five IRWM groups. Three of the five groups applied for funding in the initial Proposition 84 funding round. These are the Upper Kings Basin IRWMA, the Kaweah River Basin IRWM, and the Poso Creek IRWM. DWR recently announced its funding recommendations and all three applicant groups were recommended for partial funding. All three groups were recommended for partial funding.

SUBJECT: Letter of Support for Proposition 84 Round 1 Integrated Regional Water

Management Implementation Grant Draft Funding Recommendation

**DATE:** June 7, 2011

While full funding would have been preferred, the groups will be able to accomplish their projects with the reduced amount. Tulare County disadvantaged communities (DAC) will benefit from the funding and the other projects benefit the whole region.

# **FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING:**

N/A

# **LINKAGE TO THE COUNTY OF TULARE STRATEGIC BUSINESS PLAN:**

The County's Strategic Plan includes an initiative to provide for the safety and security of the public which includes the goal of providing an adequate and safe water supply.

# **ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

# **INVOLVEMENT OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS OR AGENCIES:**

N/A

# **ADMINISTRATIVE SIGN-OFF:**

Dénise Akins

Administrative Analyst- Water Resources

Cc: Auditor/Controller

County Counsel
County Administrative Office (2)

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF TULARE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR PROPOSTION 84 ROUND 1 INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION GRANT DRAFT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION	) RESOLUTION NO ) AGREEMENT NO ) )
UPON MOTION OF SUPERVISO	OR, SECONDED BY
SUPERVISOR	_, THE FOLLOWING WAS ADOPTED BY THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AT AN OF	FICIAL MEETING HELD
, BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:	
AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT:	
ATTEST:	JEAN M. ROUSSEAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER/ CLERK, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
BY:	
	Deputy Clerk
* * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *
	support for Proposition 84 Round 1 Integrated nt Implementation Grant Draft Funding

Recommendation.



# BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

**Allen R. Ishida** District One

Pete Vander Poel
District Two

Phillip A. Cox District Three

J. Steven Worthley District Four

Mike Ennis
District Five

#### **BOARD STAFF**

Jeff Forbes

Jed Chernabaeff ₩

#### CLERK OF THE BOARD

Administration Bldg. 2800 West Burrel Visalia, CA 93291

TEL: (559) 636-5000 FAX: (559) 733-6898

# County of Tulare

June 7, 2011

California Department of Water Resources
Division of Integrated Regional Water Management
Financial Assistance Branch
Post Office Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236
Attn: Mr. Trevor Joseph

Re: <u>Proposition 84 Round 1 Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM)</u>
<u>Implementation Grant Draft Funding Recommendations</u>

Dear Mr. Joseph:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the Proposition 84 Round 1 IRWM Implementation Grant Draft Funding Recommendations (Recommendations). The County of Tulare Board of Supervisors (Board) supports the Recommendations of the California Department of Water Resources (CDWR) staff. We feel CDWR staff has conducted a very creditable analysis of the grant applications and that the draft recommendations are consistent with both the Proposition 84 IRWM Guidelines, and the Round 1 Implementation Proposal Solicitation Package. The Board encourages CDWR to finalize the Recommendations without any revisions.

Furthermore, the Board supports the efforts of the Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority (Water Authority), Kaweah River Basin IRWM (Kaweah), and Poso Creek IRWM (Poso) regions to secure external funding. The amount requested in the Proposition 84 Round 1 IRWM Implementation Grant application submitted by the Water Authority was \$13,333,333, Kaweah was \$7,286,423, and Poso was \$12,892,510. While the recommended awards to the Water Authority is \$8,496,000, Kaweah is \$4643,000 and Poso is \$8,215,000 are significantly lower than the amount requested, the project proponents will likely be able to complete their respective projects by contributing additional local funds. However, if less than the recommended amount is received, completion of the projects could be at risk.

In conclusion, the Board fully supports the efforts of Upper Kings Basin IRWM Authority, the Kaweah River Basin, and the Poso Creek regions as they seek Proposition 84 IRWM Implementation Grant funding, and encourages CDWR to finalize the Recommendations without any revisions.

Sincerely,	
Allen R. Ishida	Pete Vander Poel
District One	District Two
Philip A. Cox	J. Steven Worthley
District Three	District Four
	Mike Ennis

District Five